

SWIFT Managed Aquifer Recharge: From Influent to the Aquifer and Everything In Between Dan Holloway, P.G. | HRSD

> Groundwater Protection Council ASR-MAR Workgroup, January 16, 2024

Hampton Roads Sanitation District

Population served: 1.9 million 14th Largest Wastewater Utility

Political Subdivision created in 1940 Serves 20 Cities and Counties



Combined wastewater treatment capacity: 225 million gallons/day



Operate 8 major and 6 smaller treatment plants and more than 100 pump stations



Separate Sanitary System with > 500 miles of pipe 2



Service area is approx. 5,000 square miles



Water Challenges for Coastal Virginia



- **Restoration of the Chesapeake Bay**
 - Harmful Algal Blooms
 - Localized bacteria impairments (beaches, oyster grounds)
- Urban stormwater retrofits (cost and complexity) **Compliance with Federal enforcement action**
 - Wet weather SSOs
 - Regional approach
- Adaptation to sea level rise
 - Recurrent flooding
 - More severe flooding
- Depletion of groundwater resources
 - Potential dewatering of confined aquifers
 - Potential for saltwater contamination
 - Causes land subsidence





Source: USGS Professional Paper 1731, McFarland and Bruce 2006

Visitors from outer space can make an impact







Withdrawals rose sharply then generally stabilized



Virginia Department of Environmental Quality

However, the aquifer takes a long time to stabilize

NEWKE



Virginia Dept of Environmental Quality



From artesian to depression



POTENTIOMETRIC SURFACE OF THE LOWERMOST CRETACEOUS AQUIFER IN SOUTHEASTERN VIRGINIA AND NORTHEASTERN NORTH CAROLINA

HAMPTON 별 # 2 LARGES ROADS 의 바 2 POPULATION CENTER AT RES

Sea level rise is a relative proposition

- Up to 50% of relative SLR may be due to land subsidence
- Up to 50% of land subsidence may be due to aquifer compaction
- Measured rates of aquifer system compaction (1979-96)
 - Franklin 1.6 mm/yr
 - Suffolk 3.7 mm/yr



Holdahl and Morrison (1974) Tectonophysics, 23(4), p. 373-390 Taken from VA Department of Environmental Quality

Leveling station, and land elevation change rate in millimeters per year (standard deviation)

9

Groundwater withdrawal center

 \triangle

Boykins -3.2 (0.9)

A. Before pumping

Land surface Water table 🗵 Sandy aquifer Clay confining unit Clay lens Sandy aquifer Clay confining unit Sandy aquifer

Figure 10. Aquifer-system compaction caused by groundwater withdrawals A, before and B, after pumping. Modified from Galloway and others (1999).

and Pope



USGS, Circular 1392, Eggleston





- Withdraw ancient groundwater One pass use and discharge to surface water.
- Open loop system
- Not sustainable

Business as usual



What is SWIFT?



BENEFITS OF SWIFT

 \checkmark

Protecting Bay

✓

Reducing the rate of land subsidence

Creating a sustainable source of groundwater

Helping prevent groundwater contamination from salt water

Protecting the Chesapeake



- Hydrogeologically favorable large prolific confined aquifer that can take the water, water doesn't go far from recharge site, but positive pressure effect does
- Geographically favorable no downstream users (Chesapeake Bay doesn't need HRSD's discharge)
- Regulatorily favorable EPA's CWA integrated planning system, prioritize CWA projects and adjust spending and schedule accordingly
- **HRSD itself** fits into our Enabling Act, Mission and Vision



Modeling of SWIFT suggests room for permitting



Total Permitted Withdrawals JR SWIFT NP SWIFT

Critical cell failures Baseline – 364 Scenario 1.2 – 83





"All models are wrong, but some are useful" George E. P. Box

Simulated Total Aquifer System Compaction from 1890 to 2064 - Total Permitted



Simulated Total Aquifer System Compaction from 1890 to 2064 - Total Permitted with All Injection Wells





Elastic Rebound of land subsidence is real







Figure 8. The borehole extensometer in Franklin, Virginia. Modified from Pope (2002).

USGS, Circular 1392, Eggleston and Pope

Two types of deformation

- Irreversible inelastic
- Recoverable elastic _

Ground surface rebounded 32 mm from withdrawal shutdown in Franklin from 2009-2010.









Where does the water go?

Baseline (w/o SWIFT Recharge)



SWIFT Recharge



16









Process Flow Diagram for SWIFT Research Center



SWIFT RESEARCH CENTER PROCESS FLOW DIAGRAM

How will SWIFT effect vertical land motion?







Geochemical challenges to putting water into the aquifer

Operational concerns

- Clay destabilization
- Well plugging Environmental/Regulatory concerns
- Liberating metals
- Metals migration in the aquifer



Challenges with MAR at HRSD SWIFT sites



RD⁺Cs⁺ C Na⁺ Li⁺ Ca⁺² Mg⁺² Na⁺ PARTICLES IN THE FLAKE PARTICLES IN THE PORE WATER Clay flake Na⁺ O O O O OUT

RELATIVE SIZE OF CATIONS



MINERALOGY-INC







The grain-coating detrital clay (red) is dominated by montmorillonite. The graincoating matrix locally bridges the intergranular pore throats (yellow).

24



80-990'; MI#16187-10

Aquifer Fill with Salty Water



Out of Aquifer Pores. Lower lonic Strength Reduced Forces of Attraction





ACH conditioning and testing was successful







Differences in water quality can cause metals mobilization

- Didn't observe As must assume it is present
- Increase SWIFT Water pH above the solubility limit of iron,
- Buffers the dissolution of iron-bearing minerals, and
- Precipitating **Hydrous Ferric Oxide (HFO)** on the surface of the minerals, which performs the following:
 - Inhibits the reactivity of reduced metal-bearing minerals (passivate)
 - Adsorbs arsenic migrating in the aquifer
 - Adsorbs potential competitive oxyanions
- The approach works well in aquifers rich in iron-bearing minerals and redox-transitional zones, like the Potomac aquifer in the SWIFT site areas







MW_SAT, a discretized look



• Sister well, matches **TW-1** • Research, not compliance • 11 screen zones • FLUTe sampling system Sample each screen

SWIFT Research Center, MW-SAT: screen 9



 Pyrite Oxidation, (melting pyrite) – occurs at leading edge of bubble

 Competitive desorption (phosphate kicks off the arsenic) – occurs later in recharge operations

 Reductive dissolution of arsenic-bearing iron oxides (melting HFO),





SWIFT Water DO and MW-SAT As



Arsenic speciation

 Arsenic III is very stable across the speciated samples, just above 2 Ug/L

 When As values are low, As (III) dominates

 When As concentration is elevated, As (III) stable, As (V) increases

 Points to reductive dissolution

Declining DO in the SWIFT Water produce reducing conditions and dissolve HFO – increase in As V



Injectivity tracking at TW-1









- Pumped topped out at 2,813 gpm (4 MGD!)
- Specific Capacity @ 2,700 gpm = 69 gpm/ft
- TW-1 SC @ 1,100 gpm = 37 gpm/ft
- NP_MAR_01 @ 1,220 gpm = 83 gpm/ft





NP_MAR_01 Performance

 Transmissivity (ft²/day) – Ranged 31,200 – 57,500 - Average 41,500



Well Level (ft)

60 -50 -55 50 -60 -65 40 Injectivity (gpm/ft) -70 30 -75 -80 20 -85 -90 10 -95 8 0 -100 11/1/2022 6/14/2023 7/29/2023 1/30/2023 3/16/2023 4/30/2023 9/12/2023 10/27/2023 12/11/2023 12/16/2022

MAR-1 Injectivity

• Injectivity ----Bag filter change Well Level

NP_MAR_01 much better!









- Filter bags replaced approximately every 2 months
- Reminder: Currently using absolute filters (0.5 micron Efficiency 99.99%)
- Normally using nominal (1 micron –Efficiency 70%)

Wellhead filtration to learn



Regulatory Structure

- SWIFT falls under EPA's Region 3 **Underground Injection Control Program**
 - -Class V Well
 - -SRC EPA Permit by Rule
 - -JR SWIFT Area Permit
- Independent Monitoring and Oversight
 - Developed oversight framework in collaboration with regulators and key stakeholders
 - Oversight committee includes representation from regulatory community and local stakeholders
 - Incorporates Potomac Aquifer Monitoring **Research Laboratory**
 - Jointly managed by Virginia Tech and Old Dominion University
 - Quarterly meetings since August 2019



Meridian Ins

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY **REGION III** Four Penn Center 1600 John F Kennedy Blvd Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103-2852

UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL AREA PERMIT NUMBER VAS5B170028617 **AUTHORIZATION TO OPERATE CLASS V INJECTION WELLS**



In compliance with provisions of the Safe Drinking Water Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 4 -147 of Title 40 of the Code of Federal



Takes a village to put water into the ground



Phase 1

- James River SWIFT (16 MGD): US-A DB Team, AC Schultes of Maryland, 2026
- Nansemond SWIFT (33 MGD): Active Procurement, 2029
- VIP SWIFT: Tertiary treatment to reduce nutrients, no recharge Phase 1

Individual Project Teams





James River SWIFT Wells, not just a pipe in the ground

Recharge Well Profile

- Total depth: ~1250 feet below ground
- 30-inch SS diameter upper casing X 20-inch diameter SS screen
- Screen/blank section starting ~500 feet below ground
- 10+ Multiple screen sections
- Pre-packed screens likely
- 4 MGD withdrawal capacity, 2 MGD recharge capacity
- Reverse circulation drilling pass
- Conditioning aquifer with ACH







James River SWIFT MAR Wells

Warwick River





James River SWIFT MAR Wells general comparison



MAR Well ID	flow rate (gpm)	specific capacity (gpm/ft)
JR_MAR _01	2112	58
JR_MAR _03	2088	55
JR_MAR _04	2100	76
JR_MAR _10	2083	60
NP_MAR _01	2112	69

Total screen length



Save the Date – HRSD SWIFT Industry Day 2024

SWIFT Industry Day is an annual opportunity to learn about the status of the SWIFT program and upcoming opportunities to join us in providing sustainable water for the future of eastern Virginia. Come meet with members of our program management team, HRSD leaders, and project-specific teams!

January

2024

Holiday Inn Newport News – Hampton 980 Omni Boulevard Newport News, VA 23606

8:30 a.m. – 9:30 a.m. 11:00 a.m. – 12:00 p.m. Continued Networking

Networking Breakfast 9:30 a.m. – 11:00 a.m. Presentation and Discussion Scan the QR code to register and for more information



SWIFTVA.COM

Dan Holloway, P.G. dholloway@hrsd.com 757-813-5126

Thanks! Questions?





